

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/017,760

Applicant(s)

KO ET AL.

Examiner

Sam Chuan C. Yao

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.(2) Mr. Maxwell Petersen.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 November 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Confirmed the substance of interviews conducted last month indicating that the proposed amendment defines over the art of record. Informed Counsel that, if no new art is found, this application will be placed in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)